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- Net-load: consumption - wind and solar production.

Controllable production units need to meet the net-load and not the raw consumption.

- Adaptive. A more unstable environment:
- Demand volatility is growing.
- Renewable production is increasing.
- Consumption patterns are changing.
- Probabilistic.
- Probabilistic forecasts are needed to set reserves.
- Renewable production adds variability and uncertainty.


## Variability for wind generation in Europe


"Simulation of a wind fleet of 280 GW of installed capacity, well distributed across the European system, showed that in winter the daily average power generation from wind varies between 40 and 170 GW depending on wind conditions"

Technical and economic analysis of the European electricity system with $60 \%$ res, Alain Burtin and Vera Silva, EDF R\&D, 2015.

## Variability for solar generation in Europe



- Important seasonality.
- More predictable.

Technical and economic analysis of the European electricity system with $60 \%$ res, Alain Burtin and Vera Silva, EDF R\&D, 2015.

## Regional Net-load Forecasting

We forecast $y_{t} \in \mathbb{R}$. Our data set: 14 time series.


1: N Scotland (P) 2: S Scotland (N) 3: NE England (F) 4: Yorkshire (M)
5: NW England (G)
6: Merseyside \& $N$ Wales (D
7: S Wales (K)
8: W Midlands (E)
9: E Midlands (B) 10: E England (A)
11: London (C)
12: SE England (J)
13: S England (H) 14: SW England (L)


## Explanatory Variables: Calendar

Region A, 3 PM


Daily Profiles


## Explanatory Variables: Meteorology
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Region P, 3 PM


## Objective: probabilistic forecasting

We forecast $y_{t}$ given $x_{t}$. In what sense ?

- Mean forecast: $\hat{y}_{t}=\mathbb{E}\left[y_{t} \mid x_{t}\right]$.

Equivalent to the minimum of $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(y_{t}-\hat{y}_{t}\right)^{2} \mid x_{t}\right]$.

## Objective: probabilistic forecasting

We forecast $y_{t}$ given $x_{t}$. In what sense ?
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- Probabilistic forecast: estimation of $\mathcal{L}\left(y_{t} \mid x_{t}\right)$.

For $0<q<1$, we find $\hat{y}_{t, q}$ such that $\mathbb{P}\left(y_{t} \leq \hat{y}_{t, q} \mid x_{t}\right)=q$.
Equivalent to the minimum of $\mathbb{E}\left[\rho_{q}\left(y_{t}, \hat{y}_{t}\right) \mid x_{t}\right]$ :


## Adaptive Setting

- Offline / Batch: $\hat{y}_{t}=f_{\hat{\theta}}\left(x_{t}\right)$.

Example: Empirical Risk Minimizer

$$
\hat{\theta} \in \arg \min \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \ell\left(y_{t}, f_{\hat{\theta}}\left(x_{t}\right)\right) .
$$

## Adaptive Setting

- Offline / Batch: $\hat{y}_{t}=f_{\hat{\theta}}\left(x_{t}\right)$.

Example: Empirical Risk Minimizer

$$
\hat{\theta} \in \arg \min \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \ell\left(y_{t}, f_{\hat{\theta}}\left(x_{t}\right)\right) .
$$

- Online / Adaptive: $\hat{y}_{t}=f_{\hat{\theta}_{t}}\left(x_{t}\right)$ with $\hat{\theta}_{t+1}=\Phi\left(\hat{\theta}_{t}, x_{t}, y_{t}\right)$. Example: Online Gradient Descent

$$
\hat{\theta}_{t+1}=\hat{\theta}_{t}-\left.\gamma_{t} \frac{\partial \ell\left(y_{t}, f_{\theta}\left(x_{t}\right)\right)}{\partial \theta}\right|_{\hat{\theta}_{t}} .
$$

## Offline Model in Two Steps²

- Generalized Additive Model with Gaussian distribution for mean forecasting:

$$
y_{t}=f_{1}\left(x_{t, 1}\right)+\ldots+f_{d}\left(x_{t, d}\right)+\varepsilon_{t}, \quad \varepsilon_{t} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right) .
$$

$f_{1}, \ldots, f_{d}$ : decomposed on spline basis: $f_{j}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{m_{j}} \beta_{j, k} B_{j, k}(x)$.
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$$
y_{t}=f_{1}\left(x_{t, 1}\right)+\ldots+f_{d}\left(x_{t, d}\right)+\varepsilon_{t}, \quad \varepsilon_{t} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)
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$f_{1}, \ldots, f_{d}$ : decomposed on spline basis: $f_{j}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{m_{j}} \beta_{j, k} B_{j, k}(x)$.

- Probabilistic forecasting: quantile regressions on the residuals because the Gaussian assumption is not satisfied in practice:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{q} \in \arg \min _{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{0}}} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \rho_{q}\left(y_{t}-\hat{y}_{t}, \beta^{\top} z_{t}\right), \\
& \rho_{q}\left(y, \hat{y}_{q}\right)=\left(\mathbb{1}_{y<\hat{y}_{q}}-q\right)\left(\hat{y}_{q}-y\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z_{t}$ contains the GAM prediction and the GAM effects. ${ }^{1}$
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## Motivation for Adaptation

Train: 2014-2018. Test: 2019-2021.

Drift of Offline GAM
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Theorem (R. Kalman and R. Bucy, 1961)
If the state-space model is well-specified for known variances, and if $\theta_{1} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\hat{\theta}_{1}, P_{1}\right)$, then $\theta_{t+1} \mid\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right)_{s \leq t} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\hat{\theta}_{t+1}, P_{t+1}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{t \mid t}=P_{t}-\frac{P_{t} f\left(x_{t}\right) f\left(x_{t}\right)^{\top} P_{t}}{f\left(x_{t}\right)^{\top} P_{t} f\left(x_{t}\right)+\sigma_{t}^{2}}, \quad P_{t+1}=P_{t \mid t}+Q_{t+1}, \\
& \hat{\theta}_{t+1}=\hat{\theta}_{t}-\frac{P_{t \mid t}}{\sigma_{t}^{2}}\left(f\left(x_{t}\right)\left(\hat{\theta}_{t}^{\top} f\left(x_{t}\right)-y_{t}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Kalman Filter, a Gradient Algorithm

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{t \mid t}=P_{t}-\frac{P_{t} f\left(x_{t}\right) f\left(x_{t}\right)^{\top} P_{t}}{f\left(x_{t}\right)^{\top} P_{t} f\left(x_{t}\right)+\sigma_{t}^{2}}, \quad P_{t+1}=P_{t \mid t}+Q_{t+1} \\
& \hat{\theta}_{t+1}=\hat{\theta}_{t}-\frac{P_{t \mid t}}{\sigma_{t}^{2}}\left(f\left(x_{t}\right)\left(\hat{\theta}_{t}^{\top} f\left(x_{t}\right)-y_{t}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

1. Static $^{3}: Q_{t}=0, \sigma_{t}^{2}=1$.
$\rightarrow P_{t \mid t}=O(1 / t)$.
2. Dynamic with constant variances: $Q_{t}=Q, \sigma_{t}^{2}=\sigma^{2}$.
$\rightarrow P_{t \mid t}=O(1)$. Comparable to Adam, AdaGrad.
3. Variance Tracking: dynamic with adaptive variances ${ }^{4}$.
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## Constant Variances
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## Constant Variances

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{t}-\theta_{t}^{\top} f\left(x_{t}\right) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right), \\
& \theta_{t}-\theta_{t-1} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, Q)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Non convex log-likelihood. No guarantee of optimality.
- Diagonal Covariance Matrix $Q$.

Optimization with iterative grid search ${ }^{4}$.
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## Coefficient Evolution

State Evolution: Kalman Filtering


State Evolution: Kalman Filtering


Static setting (left): $\theta_{t+1}=\theta_{t} . P_{t \mid t}=O(1 / t)$.
Dynamic setting (right): $\theta_{t+1}-\theta_{t} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, Q) . P_{t \mid t}=O(1)$.

## Correction of the Drift

Drift of Offline GAM


Drift of Kalman GAM


## Performances

$R M S E=\sqrt{\frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}|} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}}\left(y_{t}-\hat{y}_{t}\right)^{2}}, \quad M A E=\frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}|} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}}\left|y_{t}-\hat{y}_{t}\right|$

|  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forecast | nRMSE | nMAE | nRMSE | nMAE | nRMSE | nMAE |
| Persistence (7 days) | 0.691 | 0.589 | 0.710 | 0.599 | 0.737 | 0.639 |
| Persistence (2 days) | 0.767 | 0.686 | 0.755 | 0.668 | 0.736 | 0.668 |
| Offline GAM | 0.356 | 0.327 | 0.485 | 0.453 | 0.635 | 0.601 |
| Incremental offline GAM (yearly) | - | - | 0.407 | 0.376 | 0.387 | 0.378 |
| Incremental offline GAM (daily) | 0.338 | 0.307 | 0.370 | 0.344 | 0.377 | 0.365 |
| Kalman GAM (Static) | 0.337 | 0.307 | 0.374 | 0.347 | 0.380 | 0.368 |
| Kalman GAM (Dynamic) | $\mathbf{0 . 3 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 0 7}$ |
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## Probabilistic Forecast using the Kalman Filter

Under the state-space assumption: $\theta_{t} \mid\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right)_{s<t} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\hat{\theta}_{t}, P_{t}\right)$ and $y_{t}-\theta_{t}^{\top} f\left(x_{t}\right) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$.
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- In practice: mean forecast, then quantile regressions on the residuals $y_{t}-\hat{\theta}_{t}^{\top} f\left(x_{t}\right)$.
$\rightarrow$ adaptive quantile regression ?


## Adaptive Quantile Regression

Offline quantile regression:
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Online Gradient Descent with step size $\alpha>0$ :
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## Adaptive Quantile Regression
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$$
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$\rightarrow$ choice of $\alpha$ ?

## Aggregation of Experts

- We use different step sizes $\alpha_{k}$, typically $10^{k}$.

[^5]
## Aggregation of Experts

- We use different step sizes $\alpha_{k}$, typically $10^{k}$.
- Experts $\hat{y}_{t, q}^{(k)}$ obtained from $\alpha_{k}$.

[^6]
## Aggregation of Experts

- We use different step sizes $\alpha_{k}$, typically $10^{k}$.
- Experts $\hat{y}_{t, q}^{(k)}$ obtained from $\alpha_{k}$.
- Aggregation of Experts: Bernstein Online Aggregation ${ }^{5}$ :

$$
\hat{y}_{t, q}=\sum_{k} p_{t}^{(k)} \hat{y}_{t, q}^{(k)}
$$

where $p_{t}^{(k)}$ is obtained sequentially.
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## Reliability




GAM Kalman + Offline QR: 2019



## Reliability over Time



## Evaluation Metric

We use the continuous ranked probability score ${ }^{6}$ :

$$
\operatorname{CRPS}(F, y)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(F(x)-\mathbb{1}_{y \leq x}\right)^{2} d x=2 \int_{0}^{1} \rho_{q}\left(y, F^{-1}(q)\right) d q
$$

Discrete variant:

$$
\operatorname{RPS}\left(\left(\hat{y}_{q_{1}}, \ldots, \hat{y}_{q_{1}}\right), y\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\prime} \rho_{q_{i}}\left(y, \hat{y}_{q_{i}}\right)\left(q_{i+1}-q_{i-1}\right)
$$
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## Performances

|  | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Offline Method | 0.231 | 0.338 | 0.454 |
| GAM Kalman (Gaussian Quantiles) | 0.212 | 0.217 | 0.222 |
| GAM Kalman + Offline QR | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 1 7}$ |
| Offline GAM + QR OGD $\left(10^{-3}\right)$ | 0.218 | 0.270 | 0.293 |
| Offline GAM + QR OGD $\left(10^{-2}\right)$ | 0.207 | 0.221 | 0.218 |
| Offline GAM + QR OGD $\left(10^{-1}\right)$ | 0.250 | 0.248 | 0.293 |
| Offline GAM + QR OGD (BOA) | 0.204 | 0.211 | 0.216 |
| GAM Kalman + QR OGD (10-2) | 0.205 | 0.204 | 0.212 |
| GAM Kalman + QR OGD (BOA) | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0 9}$ |

## Conclusion

- Linear Gaussian state-space model: an adaptive mean forecaster. Interpretation as a gradient algorithm.
- Similar algorithm for probabilistic forecasting: Online Gradient Descent.

Future work:

- Extreme Forecasts Evaluation.
- Regional / local data can be seen as hierarchical.
- Definition of covariates: GAM, neural network...
- Choice of the variances (Variance Tracking).

Adaptive Probabilistic Forecasting of Electricity (Net-)Load: accepted for publication by IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, available on IEEE Xplore.

## Viking Conseil

- Design of adaptive forecasting methods.
- Tests on various use cases: EDF, RTE, SNCF Énergie.
- Development of a forecasting platform to industrialise.
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